

Federal Domestic Assistance Allocated on the Basis of Statistics Based on the Decennial Census, U.S. and States, FY2007

State	Census-based Allocation	Rank	Per Capita Census-based Allocation	Rank
United States	\$376,820,954,628		\$1,249.32	
Alabama	\$5,874,282,135	22	\$1,269.33	23
Alaska	\$1,877,408,247	41	\$2,746.45	2
Arizona	\$7,259,012,436	17	\$1,145.18	34
Arkansas	\$4,499,190,418	28	\$1,587.13	15
California	\$41,852,815,348	1	\$1,144.98	35
Colorado	\$4,271,972,122	30	\$878.73	49
Connecticut	\$4,337,517,400	29	\$1,238.47	27
Delaware	\$1,067,149,174	50	\$1,234.04	28
District of Columbia	\$2,074,938,749	38	\$3,527.06	1
Florida	\$17,402,265,204	4	\$953.48	48
Georgia	\$10,668,523,153	11	\$1,117.74	37
Hawaii	\$1,646,153,792	45	\$1,282.66	22
Idaho	\$1,832,954,695	42	\$1,222.46	30
Illinois	\$14,317,759,069	7	\$1,114.00	39
Indiana	\$7,781,136,336	16	\$1,226.29	29
Iowa	\$3,450,801,442	33	\$1,154.87	33
Kansas	\$3,009,284,830	35	\$1,084.04	40
Kentucky	\$5,949,893,124	21	\$1,402.79	18
Louisiana	\$11,570,415,837	8	\$2,695.05	3
Maine	\$2,393,790,887	37	\$1,817.32	8
Maryland	\$5,820,907,531	23	\$1,036.05	43
Massachusetts	\$9,633,445,668	13	\$1,493.61	16
Michigan	\$10,788,887,176	10	\$1,071.20	41
Minnesota	\$6,260,395,988	20	\$1,204.47	31
Mississippi	\$5,571,627,077	25	\$1,908.89	7
Missouri	\$7,799,198,515	15	\$1,326.75	19
Montana	\$1,668,331,843	44	\$1,741.73	10
Nebraska	\$1,977,360,661	39	\$1,114.28	38
Nevada	\$1,890,978,773	40	\$737.11	51
New Hampshire	\$1,374,011,876	46	\$1,044.22	42
New Jersey	\$10,153,603,728	12	\$1,168.97	32
New Mexico	\$3,471,873,921	32	\$1,762.45	9

New York	\$38,211,064,767	2	\$1,980.08	5
North Carolina	\$11,267,970,159	9	\$1,243.56	25
North Dakota	\$1,314,769,899	47	\$2,055.24	4
Ohio	\$14,427,489,928	6	\$1,258.18	24
Oklahoma	\$5,191,205,968	27	\$1,435.10	17
Oregon	\$4,246,708,351	31	\$1,133.22	36
Pennsylvania	\$16,208,396,459	5	\$1,303.68	20
Rhode Island	\$1,798,633,624	43	\$1,700.30	12
South Carolina	\$5,470,335,179	26	\$1,241.08	26
South Dakota	\$1,270,822,940	48	\$1,596.08	14
Tennessee	\$7,950,690,640	14	\$1,291.38	21
Texas	\$23,148,081,490	3	\$968.36	46
Utah	\$2,545,980,057	36	\$962.44	47
Vermont	\$1,190,015,043	49	\$1,915.50	6
Virginia	\$6,594,105,392	19	\$855.03	50
Washington	\$6,675,482,737	18	\$1,032.01	45
West Virginia	\$3,133,243,201	34	\$1,729.13	11
Wisconsin	\$5,783,981,294	24	\$1,032.55	44
Wyoming	\$844,090,345	51	\$1,614.46	13

Notes:

This table was generated by an Access database of federal domestic assistance programs that rely in whole or in part on federal statistics to determine the eligibility of the applicant, the recipient, or the beneficiary to receive the assistance and/or the formula by which funds are allocated geographically. The database identifies the specific federal statistical variables on which each program relies, as well as the statistical agencies that provide these data.

The table includes programs that rely in whole or in part on decennial census data, Census Bureau data derived from the decennial census (primarily the American Community Survey and population estimates), and data produced by other federal agencies that are derived from such Census Bureau statistics. Examples of Census Bureau-derived statistics produced by other federal agencies include the Bureau of Economic Analysis' per capita income (which uses Census Bureau population estimates as the denominator and "long form"/ACS journey-to-work data to help calculate the numerator) and the Department of Housing and Urban Development's fair market rents, which is based on the Census Bureau's median household income.

Programs that rely on federal statistics were identified through a review of the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (<http://www.cfda.gov>), maintained by the General Services Administration. Information regarding each program's reliance on federal statistics was gathered from the Catalog (CFDA), federal law and regulation, and, as necessary, a telephone call to grant program personnel. The allocation of grant funds by geography was drawn from the Consolidated Federal Funds Report (CFFR) database (<http://www.census.gov/govs/www/cffr.html>), maintained by the Census Bureau. FY2007 is the latest year for which CFFR data are available.

The development of the database is a work in progress. Consequently, the findings in the table are preliminary and may be subject to revision.

For more information, contact:

Andrew Reamer, Fellow
The Brookings Institution
areamer@brookings.edu
202-797-4398

Daniel Berkovits, Policy/Research Assistant
The Brookings Institution
dberkovits@brookings.edu
202-797-6137